

In re Application of: Anderson, et al.

Serial No: 10/749,475

Filed: December 31, 2003

Confirmation No: 3932

Title: Nonwovens Having Reduced Poisson Ratio



Group Art Unit: 1772

Examiner: William P. Watkins, III

Our Client ID: 22827

Our Account No: 04-1403

Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

This is a response/amendment/letter in the above-identified application and includes the herewith attachment of same date and subject which is incorporated hereto by reference and the signature below is to be treated as the signature to the attachment in absence of a signature thereto.

Fee requirements (if any) have been calculated as shown below:

Claims remaining after amendment	Highest number previously paid for	Present Extra	Additional Fee
Total Effective Claims	minus	=	X \$50 =
Independent Claims	minus	=	x \$200 =
If amendment enters <u>proper</u> multiple dependent claim(s) into this application for <u>first</u> time, add \$290.00 (per application)			
Since Official Action set an <u>original</u> due date of <u>March 18, 2005</u> , PETITION is hereby made for an extension to cover the date this response is filed for which the requisite fee is enclosed (1 month \$120; 2 months \$450; 3 months \$1020; 4 months \$1590, 5 months \$2,160)			
If Terminal Disclaimer enclosed, add Rule 20(d) Official Fee (\$110.00)			
SUBTOTAL:			
If "small entity" verified statement filed <input type="checkbox"/> previously, <input type="checkbox"/> herewith, enter one-half (1/2) of subtotal and <u>subtract</u>			
TOTAL:			
04/20/2005 CNGUYEN 00000017 10749475			
Other: 01 FF:1251			

TOTAL FEE ENCLOSED (CREDIT CARD PAYMENT): \$ 120.00

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fee specifically authorized hereafter, or any fees in addition to the fee(s) filed, or asserted to be filed, or which should have been filed herewith or concerning any paper filed hereafter, and which may be required under Rules 16-18 (deficiency only) now or hereafter relative to this application and the resulting official document under Rule 20, or credit any overpayment, to our Account No. (04-1403) for which purpose duplicate copy of this sheet is attached. This statement does not authorize charge of the issue fee in this case.

ADDRESS:
Post Office Box 1449
Greenville, SC 29602 USA
Customer ID No.: 22827
Telephone: 864-271-1592
Facsimile: 864-233-7342

DORITY & MANNING
ATTORNEYS AT LAW, P.A.

By: Timothy A. Cassidy Reg. No: 38,024 Date: April 18, 2005

Signature: [Signature]

I hereby certify that this correspondence and any referenced attachment and fee are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Post Office Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on April 18, 2005.

Pamela Knorr



PATENT

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.: KCX-813 (19344)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Anderson, et al.)	Examiner: William P. Watkins, III
)	
Serial No.: 10/749,475)	Art Unit: 1772
)	
Filed: December 31, 2003)	Deposit Acct. No.: 04-1403
)	
Title: Nonwovens Having Reduced Poisson Ratio)	Customer No.: 22827
)	

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner of Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated February 18, 2005, Applicants respond as follows.

In the Office Action, a species election was requested in which the currently pending claims were separated into seven patentably distinct species categories. In response, Applicants hereby elect without traverse to initially prosecute species category IV, which is directed to a patterned layer comprising a tissue with a patterned bonding material.

Please note that claims 1-47, 49, 51, 53, 54, 56 and 57 read upon the elected species.

As indicated in the Office Action, claims 54, 56 and 57 are generic to all of the species. Further, Applicants submit that claims 47 and 53 are generic to species numbers III, IV, V and VI. Applicants submit that if any of the generic claims are held allowable, then the dependent claims directed to the non-elected species should be held allowable as well.

For the Examiner's convenience, enclosed is a complete listing of claims with the proper claim identifiers.